



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/627,741	07/28/2000	Jean Francois Le Pennec	FR9-1999-0108-US1	2788

31292 7590 10/14/2004  
CHRISTOPHER & WEISBERG, P.A.  
200 EAST LAS OLAS BOULEVARD  
SUITE 2040  
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301

EXAMINER
EDELMAN, BRADLEY E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2153	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/627,741	LE PENNEC ET AL. 	
	<b>Examiner</b> Bradley Edelman	<b>Art Unit</b> 2153	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bradley Edelman. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steve Greenberg. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 October 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sugiarto et al. (U.S. 6,278,449), Sharma (U.S. 6,766,163).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed potential claim language that would overcome the claim rejections. Examiner agreed that language describing that a user can specifically select how to update different individual fields (i.e. in real-time vs. from pre-stored information) in the display would overcome the rejections based on Sugiarto and Sharma.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required